



TANTÁRGYI ADATLAP SUBJECT DATASHEET

THESIS WORK 1.

BMEGT43A213

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

1. SUBJECT DATA

Course name

THESIS WORK 1.

Course code

BMEGT43A213

Course type supervision

Kurzustípusok és óraszámok

<u>Type</u>	<u>Lessons</u>	<u>Type of assessment</u>	<u>Number of credits</u>
Lecture	1	term grade	
Practice	0		
Laboratory	0		5

Course leader

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Email adress</u>
Dr. Hamp Gábor	associate professor	hamp.gabor@gtk.bme.hu

Organizational unit for the subject

Department of Sociology and Communication

Subject website

<https://edu.gtk.bme.hu>

Language of teaching

magyar - HU

Curriculum role of the subject, recommended semester

Programme: **Kommunikáció és médiatudomány alapszak - kötelező tárgyak 2018-tól**

Subject Role: **Kötelező**

Recommended semester: **5**

Pre-requisites

strong Nincs

weak Nincs

paralell Nincs

exclusive Nincs

1.13 A tantárgyleírás érvényessége / Validity of the Subject Description

Approved by the Faculty Board of the Faculty of Economic and Social Sciences; starting date of the validity of the present Subject Description: before 2017. Next revision: September, 2021.

Approved by the Faculty Board of the Faculty of Economic and Social Sciences; starting date of the validity of the present Subject Description: before 2017. Next revision: September, 2021.

2. OBJECTIVES AND LEARNING OUTCOMES

Objectives

The subject gives the curricular framework for the preparation of the thesis. The curriculum provides two such subjects Thesis 1 and Thesis 2 in the fifth and sixth semesters, respectively to complete a dissertation with thorough work with in-depth erudition work by the end of the program. The student applies to the supervisor with the chosen topic. With the help of the supervisor, the student begins his / her dissertation research work. The aim - taking into account and considering individual progress differences - is that the student is - to compile a complete list of readings required for the thesis research; - to read most of the readings required for research and writing; - if the dissertation includes empirical research, to carry it out or to have a very precise research design and schedule; - to have a detailed (paragraph-level) outline; and - to prepare a substantive part of the dissertation (introduction or a chapter) in at least the first version by the end of the semester (including the independent work done during the examination period) The supervisor can specify and modify the requirements and inform the student about them.

Learning outcomes

Knowledge

1. Solid knowledge of conceptualization for studying communication and media phenomena
2. Basic knowledge of social science methodologies.

Ability

1. Openness to acquire new sectors of knowledge and ability to effectively acquire them
2. Ability to accomplish scientific literature research.

Attitude

1. Presence from social science egocentrism in social science fields
2. Self-reflection of one's own abilities.

Autonomy and responsibility

1. Independence
2. Proficiency in professional communication both in oral and written form.

Methodology of teaching

The subject gives the curricular framework for the preparation of the thesis. The general rules of the work of the student and the supervisor are provided by the University's Code on Education and Examination and the dissertation regulations of the Faculty. The special expectations are set jointly by the supervisor and the student at the beginning of the semester. According to the regulations, the student can ask additional counseling assistance either inside or outside the institution.

Materials supporting learning

- Tankönyvek, jegyzetek, letölthető anyagok – Books, notes, downloadable materials
- A szakdolgozati kutatómunkához szüksége szakirodalmi és további ismeretszerzési lehetőségek kijelölése és összegyűjtése a konzultációk keretében történik.
- Literature and other sources needed for the research and preparation of the thesis are to be specified at the consultations.

II. SUBJECT REQUIREMENTS

TESTING AND ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING PERFORMANCE

General Rules

A 2.2. pontban megfogalmazott tanulási eredmények értékelése a következő szintek szerint történik - A jeles értékelés feltétele az önálló, folyamatos, proaktív, pontos, érdemi, elmélyült, kreatív munka, és ennek folyamatos visszacsatolása a konzultációs folyamatba. - A jó értékelés feltétele az önálló, folyamatos, proaktív, pontos, érdemi, elmélyült munka, és ennek folyamatos visszacsatolása a konzultációs folyamatba. - A közepes értékelés feltétele a konzulensi biztatást igénylő folyamatos, pontos, érdemi, önálló munka, és ennek folyamatos visszacsatolása a konzultációs folyamatba. - Az elégséges érdemjegy feltétele a konzulensi biztatással is csak nehezen elvégzett folyamatos, érdemi munka és ennek visszacsatolása a konzultációs folyamatba. A témavezető az általános értékelési szempontrendszert pontosíthatja, és a pontos elvárásokról a hallgatót időben és kimerítően informálja. A témavezetőtől a hallgató folyamatos visszajelzést kap a konzultációs munkájára és a szakdolgozati munka részeredményeire (vizsgálati design, adatgyűjtés, adatfeldolgozás, adatrepresentáció, szövegváltozatok). A visszajelzésnél ajánlott a dolgozat értékelési szempontjai szerint elemezni az elvégzett munkát.

Performance evaluation methods

Detailed description of the performance evaluations carried out during the term: 1. The supervisor can specify the general assessment criteria and inform the student in time and in detailed about the exact requirements. 2. From the supervisor, the student receives continuous feedback on his / her work and the partial results of the dissertation work (research design, data collection, data processing, data representation, text versions, drafts). At feedback, it is recommended to assess the work done according to the assessment criteria of the thesis.

Proportion of performance evaluations performed during the diligence period in the rating

● :

Proportion of examination elements in the rating

● :

The condition for obtaining the signature, validity of the signature

Grading

Excellent	> 95
Very good	85–95
Good	70–84
Satisfactory	60-69
Pass	50–59
Fail	< 50

Correction and retake

Retake and make-up test options are defined by the valid regulations. The preparation of the thesis requires continuous work. The supervisor should make sure of the progress during the consultations and give feedback to the student. It is possible to postpone the submission of some assignments if it does not endanger the achievement of the goals of the subject for the given semester.

Study work required to complete the course

5
75
70
150

Approval and validity of subject requirements

Consulted with the Faculty Student Representative Committee, approved by dr Emma Lógó, Vice Dean for Education. Valid from 2017

III. COURSE CURRICULUM

THEMATIC UNITS AND FURTHER DETAILS

Topics discussed during the semester

1 -

Lecturers participating in teaching

Approval and validity of subject requirements

Part III of the Subject Form is to be approved by the Head of Department of Sociology and Communication named under 1.8.